

## **REMARKS**

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed June 26, 2006. A Petition for Extension of Time is submitted herewith, together with the appropriate fee.

### **I. Summary of Examiner's Rejections**

Claims 1-3, 8, 10 and 13 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Office Action, claims 1-3, 8, 10 and 13 were rejected. Claims 1 and 2 were rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,674,453 in view of Ramanathan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,286,047). Claims 1-3, 8, 10 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Kindberg et al. (<http://www.hpl.hp.com/techreports/2000/HPL-2000-16.pdf>).

### **II. Summary of Applicants' Amendments**

The present Response amends claims 2, 10 and 13, and adds new claims 14-20, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration claims 1-3, 8, 10 and 13-20. Reconsideration of the rejections in light of the following arguments is respectfully requested.

### **III. Double Patenting**

Claims 1 and 2 were rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,674,453 in view of Ramanathan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,286,047). A terminal disclaimer is enclosed with the present Response. Reconsideration of claims 1 and 2 in light of the terminal disclaimer is respectfully requested.

### **IV. Claims Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 1-3, 8, 10 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Kindberg et al. (<http://www.hpl.hp.com/techreports/2000/HPL-2000-16.pdf>).

## Claim 1

Claim 1 defines:

1. (Previously Presented) A method for providing access to services when a Web page is accessed by a user comprising the steps of:
  - receiving a URL code from a user;
  - retrieving a list of links from the Web page identified by the URL code;
  - displaying the list of links;
  - receiving a user selection of a given one of the links from the list of links;
  - retrieving a list of services which may be performed using the given link, the list of services hosted separately from the list of links; and
  - displaying the list of services.

Claim 1 requires retrieving a list of *links from the Web page* identified by the URL code after receiving a URL code from a user. From the user-selected URL, the document received from the server is parsed, and hyper-links provided in the document are provided for display on the mobile device. (Spec., pg. 10, lines 21-25). For example, if the URL code from the user is for the FXPAL website, this list of links can be “menu items” from a web page like the FXPAL web page shown in Fig. 5A. This list of links can also be links that appear in the content of the main web page, for example, “Fuji-Xerox Co.,” “Xerox Group’s,” or “Xerox global research community,” as shown in Fig. 5A. Kindberg, on the other hand, discloses a PDA that electronically picks up URLs for pages about a city, in which a user is located, and places within it. The PDA presents this information to the user as web links. (S.2.1, pg. 3, para. 5). These links, however, were electronically sensed and were not retrieved from a Web page, as required by claim 1.

Claim 1 requires displaying the list of links. An example of these displayed links is shown in Fig. 5B. These links are the menu items from the FXPAL web page shown in Fig. 5A. In contrast, Kindberg discloses an example screenshot of Fig. 4, on the left of which are links to three web-present entities that Veronica has visited since she turned on the device’s sensor. These links are not from the example Van Gogh museum webpage shown on the right of the Fig. 4 screenshot. Thus, Kindberg does not disclose displaying a list of links that were previously retrieved from a web page, as required by claim 1.

Claim 1 requires retrieving a *list of services* which may be performed using the given link, the list of services hosted separately from the list of links. These services include services

such as those listed in Fig. 6C, for example, read, print, fax, email this link, send this link, and discuss. In regard to this element of claim 1, the Office Action cites S.2.4, pg. 6, par. 2. In order to clarify to which paragraph on page 6 this citation refers, Applicants believe the paragraph begins with “For example, consider Veronica.” Kindberg discloses that in a place, people and devices access the services presented by the place. For example, one service could provide attendance lists for the people in the place; another could provide a printing service based around printers in the place. Kindberg also discloses a map showing printers. (S.2.4, pg. 6, paras. 2-3) Thus, Kindberg discloses *services* available in a place that produce web pages, and Kindberg also discloses a map. But these services and map are not the same as a list of available services that can be used for a given link selected by the user. Further, Kindberg discloses a user clicking on a link to her home provides her with web pages showing the state of all her domestic appliances. (S.2.1, pg. 4, para. 3). Retrieving these web pages is not the same as retrieving a list of services, as required by claim 1.

Claim 1 requires that the list of services is hosted separately from the list of links. These services are hosted separately on another website by a separate service provider. (Spec., pg. 19, lines 17-19). Kindberg discloses a web server embedded in a device so the device can be controlled remotely by a web server acting as an HTTP gateway to the device. (S.2.2, pg. 4, para. 5 and Fig. 6). A web server embedded in a device is different than simply another website, on which the list of services is hosted.

Thus, Kindberg does not disclose retrieving a list of links from the Web page identified by the URL code. It follows that Kindberg discloses neither displaying the list of links nor receiving a user selection of a given one of the links from the list of links. Further, Kindberg does not disclose retrieving a list of services which may be performed using the given link, the list of services hosted separately from the list of links. It follows that Kindberg does not disclose displaying the list of services. Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited reference, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

## Claim 2

Claim 2 as amended requires that the step of displaying the list of services comprises the steps of: displaying a standard list of *services independent* of the given link; and displaying one

of a number of link *dependent services* as identified by the given link. The standard list of services, includes printing, reading, faxing, emailing of the link, sending the link and discussing, as shown in Fig. 6C. An example of a link dependent service is for the IEEE Web site [www.ieee.com](http://www.ieee.com), for which a service for ordering a document such as a book or publication from IEEE might be added [to the list of services]. (Spec., pg. 20, lines 7-9). As for services independent of the given link, Kindberg discloses a printing service for visitors to print out web pages. (S.3.2.1, pg. 8., para. 5). This printing service is the same as a standard list of services, as required by claim 2. As for displaying link dependent services, Kindberg discloses a museum bookstore web portal example that “provides services” to assist Veronica in buying books and in gathering materials according to her interests. (S.3.2.1, pg. 8., para. 5). Kindberg, however, does not disclose that these services are displayed as a list in addition to a standard list of services. Applicants respectfully submit that claim 2 is neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited reference, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

#### Claims 3 and 8

Claims 3 and 8 both require that the list of services consists of one or more of the following: printing the Web page identified by the given link, faxing the Web page identified by the given link, emailing the given link, displaying the contents of the Web page identified by the given link; and transmitting the given link as a pager message. These claims are not addressed separately but it is respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable in view of the comments provided above. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 3 and 8 are similarly neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited reference, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested. It is also submitted that these claims also add their own limitations which render them patentable in their own right. Applicants respectfully reserve the right to argue these limitations should it become necessary in the future.

#### Claim 10

Claim 10 as amended requires that the step of displaying the list of services comprises the steps of: displaying a standard list of services; and displaying a list of services dependent on a location of the user’s communications device. These claims are not addressed separately but it is respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable in view of the comments provided above.

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 10 is similarly neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited reference, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested. It is also submitted that this claim also adds its own limitations which render it patentable in its own right. Applicants respectfully reserve the right to argue these limitations should it become necessary in the future.

### Claim 13

Claim 13 as amended requires that the step of displaying the list of services comprises the steps of: displaying a standard list of services; and displaying a list of services dependent on a characteristic of the user, wherein the user characteristics comprise one or more of the following: user preferences specified by the user on the user's communications device; type of communications device used by the user; and cost of services for which the user will pay. (Spec., pg. 22, lines 6-12). Kindberg discloses that on the left of the screenshot are links to three web-present entities that Veronica has visited since she turned on the device's sensor. (S.3.2.1, pg. 8, para. 4). Web-present entities that a user has visited are not the same as the user characteristics required by claim 13. Applicants respectfully submit that claim 13 is neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited reference, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

### **V. Additional Amendments**

Claims 14-20 have been newly added by the present Response. Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter is being added in new claims 14-20 and respectfully request that these claims be considered herewith.

### **VI. Conclusion**

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if she can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

Enclosed is a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for the time to respond up to and including November 27, 2006.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge the required fees and any underpayment of fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 27, 2006

By: Julie Daniels Missud  
Julie Daniels Missud  
Reg. No. 51,330

FLIESLER MEYER LLP  
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4156  
Telephone: (415) 362-3800  
Customer No. 23910